



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/721,747	11/26/2003	Peter Heinrich	038724.52882US	3944
23911 75	90 01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			NGUYEN, DINH Q	
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY GROUP			
P.O. BOX 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20044-4300		3752	
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/0005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

51°

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/721,747	HEINRICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· .	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shell twith the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 November 2004</u> .						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-17</u> is/are rejected.	3)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·				
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
i apei ivo(a)/iviali Date	o) 🗀 Ouiei					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on November 09, 2004.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in page 12, line 10, "screen 5" should read --screen 4--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 14 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 14, line 3 and claim 15, line 2, "a Laval nozzle" should read --the Laval nozzle--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-12, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Steenkiste et al. in view of Ek.

Van Steenkiste disclose a cold gas spray system having a Laval nozzle 54 with an outer nozzle body 34, a powder tube 50 capable of feeding spraying particles into the nozzle body, wherein the powder tube 50 is aligned axially and concentrically (see

Application/Control Number: 10/721,747

Art Unit: 3752

figure 2). Van Steenkiste does not teach the powder tube ends in a divergent section. However, Ek discloses a cold spraying system having a Laval nozzle 10 with a powder tube for feeding powder through the inlet 13 that is located in the divergent section of the Laval nozzle. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the Laval nozzle of Van Steenkiste with the powder tube ends in a divergent section as suggested by Ek. Doing so would provide an efficient Laval nozzle (see Ek column 1, lines 60-68).

With respect to claims 11, 12, 16 and 117, Van Steenkiste et al in view of Ek do not teach the annular area between the outer contour of the powder tube and the inner contour of the outer nozzle are about 1-30 mm² or about 3-10 mm². Van Steenkiste et al in view of Ek also do not teach the ratio between the cross sectional area of the gas passage at the narrowest point to the cross sectional area at the outlet of the nozzle is between 1:2 and 1:25 or between 1:5 and 1:11. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the Laval nozzle of the Van Steenkiste device to have the annular area of about 1-30 mm² or about 3-10 mm², or the ratio between the cross sectional area of the gas passage at the narrowest point to the cross sectional area at the outlet of the nozzle is between 1:2 and 1:25 or between 1:5 and 1:11, because Applicant has not disclosed that the claimed dimensions of the annular area provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the Van Steenkiste dimension or the claimed dimensions, because they all

Application/Control Number: 10/721,747

Art Unit: 3752

perform the same function of drawing the powder out of the powder tube into the Laval nozzle. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the device of Van Steenkiste et al. in view of Ek to obtain the invention as specified in claims 11, 12, 16 and 17.

6. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Steenkiste et al. in view of Ek as applied to claims 9-12, 16, and 17 above, and further in view of Zverev et al.

Van Steenkiste et al. in view of Ek teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the outer contour of the powder tube with the smooth cylindrical contour of the outer nozzle form the Laval nozzle. However, Zverev et al discloses a powder-spraying device with a powder tube 13 locating concentrically with the outer nozzle 19 such that they formed a Laval nozzle (see figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Van Steenkiste et al. and Ek with the outer contour of the powder tube with the smooth cylindrical contour of the outer nozzle form the Laval nozzle as suggested by Zverev et al. Doing so would provide better coatings (see column 2, lines 13-26).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to show the art with respect to a powder coating device: Nevgod et al., and Browning.

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dinh Q Nguyễn Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

dqn